

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,944	08/20/2003	Yoshiaki Hasegawa	63979-032	3843
7590 05/09/2006 McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			EXAMINER	
			VAN ROY, TOD THOMAS	
600 13th Street Washington, D	, N.W. C 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
., warm.Bran, 2			2828	
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/643,944	HASEGAWA ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner , www	Art Unit		
		Tod T. Van Roy	2828		
eriod f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
WHI - Exte afte - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star or reply received by the Office later than three months after the manned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: tute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	<u> March 2006</u> .			
2a) <u></u>	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4)🛛	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-7 and 9-15</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.			
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6,7 and 9-14</u> is/are allowed.				
-	Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,15</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.			
Applicat	tion Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
10)	] The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) $\Box$ a	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei ) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	, ,			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	ceived in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
	See the attached detailed Office action for a I				

Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗀 Other	··	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 04242006	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

The examiner acknowledges the amending of claims 1, 5-6, 13 and 15, as well as the cancellation of claim 8.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-5, and 15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 02/27/2006, with respect to claims 6-7, and 9-14 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of the claims has been withdrawn.

The examiner agrees that the combination of JP' 214 with Yoshie is not obvious in that the placement of the n-doped layer in relation to the light guide layer in each structure is not corresponding.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the p-type impurity" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2828

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-5 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hasegawa et al. (JP 11-251687, applicant submitted prior art).

With respect to claim 1, Hasegawa discloses a semiconductor laser in which an n-type semiconductor layer (fig.1a #13), an active layer (fig.1a #15), and a p-type semiconductor layer are stacked in this order on a substrate (fig.1a #10); the active layer comprising a well layer composed of InGaN (fig.1a #15 abs.); the semiconductor laser comprising an intermediate layer sandwiched between the active layer and the p-type semiconductor layer (fig.1a #16); the intermediate layer including no intentionally added impurities and being composed of a gallium nitride-based compound semiconductor (abs., [0029], no impurities taught in the layer formation); the intermediate layer being composed of GaN or InGaN (GaN, abs.); and with no p-type semiconductor layer being present between the active layer and the intermediate layer.

With respect to claim 3, Hasegawa discloses the semiconductor laser is a Group III-V nitride semiconductor laser (abs.), the n-type semiconductor layer contains Si as an n-type impurity ([0028]), and the p-type semiconductor layer contains Mg as a p-type impurity ([0030]).

With respect to claim 4, Hasegawa discloses the concentration of a p-type impurity in the active layer is about 1E17 cm-3 or lower ([0029], no added impurities, so is lower).

With respect to claim 5, Hasegawa discloses forming the device as outlined in the rejection to claim 1 ([0026-32]).

With respect to claim 15, Hasegawa discloses the thickness of the intermediate layer is not less than 60nm and not more than 160nm ([0029], 100nm).

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-7, and 9-14 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 6 and 13 are believed to be allowable as a GaN based semiconductor laser having the stated layers wherein the diffusion preventing layer is doped with an n-type impurity of not less than about 1E19 cm-3 and not higher than about 6E19 cm-3, was not found to be taught in the prior art, nor an obvious combination of the prior art. As cited in the previous office action (Akitaka et al., JP 10-200214 A), laser diodes with similar structures have been created, but with levels of doping which do not meet the limitations stated in claims 6 and 13 of the instant invention, and motivation was not found to exist for adjusting the stated levels to those in the current claims.

Claims 7, 9-12 and 14, are allowable as they depend from allowable claims 6 and 13.

Art Unit: 2828

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tod T. Van Roy whose telephone number is (571)272-8447. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun Harvey can be reached on (571)272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**TVR** 

MINSUR GILLINGY
PRIMARY TRANSPR